

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/703,140	10/31/2000	David Hoyle	TI-30554	1023
23494 75	590 11/10/2003	EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			MAI, TAN V	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	~
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			^.
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	R
Office Action Summary		09/703,140	HOYLE, DAVID	ч
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tan V Mai	2124	
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication app od for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
- - -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC c, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the communicated that is communicated.	ation.
) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	July 2003 & telephone 10	0/2003 .	
28) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 ₪) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.	wal M	ai 11/201
	Since this application is in condition for allowatelosed in accordance with the practice under osition of Claims			ts is
4) Claim(s) $1-11$ is/are pending in the application	١.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	c) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
	ication Papers			
) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
10	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	arriiner.		
	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.4407-7717-770	
13	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).	
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a hava baan saasii sad		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		Annlination No.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority		· ·	
	application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	•	
14	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
15	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
	nment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5	
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, Claims 1-7 and 9-11, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the specification, pages 19 and 28; the status of Co-pending Applications is required to be kept current.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purcell '070 in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al '419.

As per independent claim 1, Purcell discloses, e.g., see Figs. 1 and 2, the invention substantially as claimed, including: either multipliers (120, 121) & concatenation port (124) of Fig. 1 or multipliers (232, 231) & concatenation port (244) of Fig. 2 which are capable of performing the claimed "forming" and "combining" steps, respectively. It is noted that Purcell does NOT specifically disclose: (1) "fetching", (2)

Art Unit: 2124

"rounding" and (3) "shifting" steps. Firstly, the input words A and B [of Purcell] should be stored in memory means. Secondly, the "rounding" and "shifting" steps are old and well known in the art to round and truncate a "result" to a desired length. For example, (1) Balkanski et al (e.g., see Fig.1 element 18; col. 9, lines 11-27) and (2) Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 1-2 & 8-9, and col. 2, lines 5-41: col. 5, line 51 to col. 6, line 53; col. 8, line 12 to col. 9, line 60) discloses multiplication devices having "rounding feature (i.e., add a rounding value) and "shifting" feature (i.e., shift or discard a number of bit(s)). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Purcell, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device a multiplication device having a dual path for most significant product & least significant product and "rounding" & "shifting" features as claimed.

As per claims 2-3, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 25-26) and Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 4, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-27, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15. The six most significant bits and the fifteen least significant bits of this 32-bit multiplication result are then discarded". It implies "rounding value" 2**14 and shift amount of 15) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 5, Balkanski et al do show the claimed feature "fix value of fourteen". Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show shifting a number of bits.

As per claim 6, Purcell does show the claimed feature.

Due to the similarity of apparatus claim 9 to method claim 1, it is rejected under a similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2124

As per claim 10, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-25, "a 1 is added at position **bit 14** in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15". It implies "rounding value" 2**14) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 11, the claim adds "cellular telephone" feature. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Purcell and use in "cellular telephone" as claimed because the proposed device can be implemented in IC and use in "cellular telephone".

5. Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purcell '622 in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

As per independent claim 1, Purcell discloses, e.g., see Figs. 2, 7 & 9; abstract, last four lines, the invention substantially as claimed, including: either multipliers (232, 231) & concatenation port (244) of Fig. 2 or multipliers (518, 520) & an adder (532) of Fig. 7 which are capable of performing the claimed "forming" and "combining" steps, respectively. It is noted that Purcell does NOT specifically disclose: (1) "fetching", (2) "rounding" and (3) "shifting" steps. Firstly, the input words A and B [of Purcell] should be stored in memory means. Secondly, the "rounding" and "shifting" steps are old and well known in the art to round and truncate a "result" to a desired length. For example, (1) Balkanski et al (e.g., see Fig.1 element 18; col. 9, lines 11-27) and (2) Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 1-2 & 8-9, and col. 2, lines 5-41: col. 5, line 51 to col. 6, line 53; col. 8, line 12 to col. 9, line 60) discloses multiplication devices having "rounding feature (i.e., add a rounding value) and "shifting" feature (i.e., shift or discard a number of bit(s)). It

Art Unit: 2124

would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Purcell, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device a multiplication device having a dual path for most significant product & least significant product and "rounding" & "shifting" features as claimed.

As per claims 2-3, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 25-26) and Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 4, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-27, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15. The six most significant bits and the fifteen least significant bits of this 32-bit multiplication result are then discarded". It implies "rounding value" 2**14 and shift amount of 15) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 5, Balkanski et al do show the claimed feature "fix value of fourteen". Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show shifting a number of bits.

As per claim 6, Purcell does show the claimed feature.

Due to the similarity of apparatus claim 9 to method claim 1, it is rejected under a similar rationale.

As per claim 10, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-25, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15". It implies "rounding value" 2**14) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 11, the claim adds "cellular telephone" feature. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in

Art Unit: 2124

Purcell and use in "cellular telephone" as claimed because the proposed device can be implemented in IC and use in "cellular telephone".

6. Claims 1-6 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

As per independent claim 1, Murakami et al disclose, e.g., see Figs. 28 & 29b, the invention substantially as claimed, including: registers (A, B), multipliers and adders of Fig. 28 which are capable of performing the claimed "fetching", "forming" and "combining" steps, respectively. It is noted that Murakami et al do NOT specifically disclose: (1) "rounding" and (2) "shifting" steps; however, the "rounding" and "shifting" steps are old and well known in the art to round and truncate a "result" to a desired length. For example, (1) Balkanski et al (e.g., see Fig.1 element 18; col. 9, lines 11-27) and (2) Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 1-2 & 8-9, and col. 2, lines 5-41; col. 5, line 51 to col. 6, line 53; col. 8, line 12 to col. 9, line 60) discloses multiplication devices having "rounding feature (i.e., add a rounding value) and "shifting" feature (i.e., shift or discard a number of bit(s)). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Murakami et al, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device a multiplication device having a dual path for most significant product & least significant product and "rounding" & "shifting" features as claimed.

As per claims 2-3, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 25-26) and Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

Art Unit: 2124

As per claim 4, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-27, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15. The six most significant bits and the fifteen least significant bits of this 32-bit multiplication result are then discarded". It implies "rounding value" 2**14 and shift amount of 15) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 5, Balkanski et al do show the claimed feature "fix value of fourteen". Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show shifting a number of bits.

As per claim 6, Murakami et al do show the claimed feature.

Due to the similarity of apparatus claim 9 to method claim 1, it is rejected under a similar rationale.

As per claim 10, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-25, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15". It implies "rounding value" 2**14) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 11, the claim adds "cellular telephone" feature. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Murakami et al and use in "cellular telephone" as claimed because the proposed device can be implemented in IC and use in "cellular telephone".

7. Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al in view of either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al.

As per independent claim 1, Yu et al disclose, e.g., see Figs. 1C, 2B & 5, the invention substantially as claimed, including: registers (A-B, C-D), multipliers and

Art Unit: 2124

adders of Figurires which are capable of performing the claimed "fetching", "forming" and "combining" steps, respectively. It is noted that Yu et al do NOT specifically disclose: (1) "rounding" and (2) "shifting" steps; however, the "rounding" and "shifting" steps are old and well known in the art to round and truncate a "result" to a desired length. For example, (1) Balkanski et al (e.g., see Fig.1 element 18; col. 9, lines 11-27) and (2) Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 1-2 & 8-9, and col. 2, lines 5-41: col. 5, line 51 to col. 6, line 53; col. 8, line 12 to col. 9, line 60) discloses multiplication devices having "rounding feature (i.e., add a rounding value) and "shifting" feature (i.e., shift or discard a number of bit(s)). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Yu et al, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device a multiplication device having a dual path for most significant product & least significant product and "rounding" & "shifting" features as claimed.

As per claims 2-3, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 25-26) and Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 4, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-27, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15. The six most significant bits and the fifteen least significant bits of this 32-bit multiplication result are then discarded". It implies "rounding value" 2**14 and shift amount of 15) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 5, Balkanski et al do show the claimed feature "fix value of fourteen". Saishi et al (e.g., see col. 2, lines 5-24) do show shifting a number of bits.

As per claim 6, Yu et al do show the claimed feature.

Art Unit: 2124

As per claim 7, Yu et al do show the claimed feature, e.g., see col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 7.

Due to the similarity of apparatus claim 9 to method claim 1, it is rejected under a similar rationale.

As per claim 10, Balkanski et al (e.g., see col. 9, lines 23-25, "a 1 is added at position bit 14 in order to round up the number represented by bits 31 through 15". It implies "rounding value" 2**14) and Saishi et al (e.g., see Figs. 8 & 9 and col. 2, lines 5-24) do show the claimed feature.

As per claim 11, the claim adds "cellular telephone" feature. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine either Balkanski et al or Saishi et al's "rounding" and "shifting" features in Yu et al and use in "cellular telephone" as claimed because the proposed device can be implemented in IC and use in "cellular telephone".

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Art Unit: 2124

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Page 10